

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,927	08/31/2000	Theodore W. Meyers		4367	
7590 12/27/2005			EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, O'TOOLE, GERSTEIN, MURRAY & BORUN			LUGO, CARLOS		
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606-6402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676		

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/652,927	MEYERS, THEODORE W.
Examiner	Art Unit
Carlos Lugo	3676

Advisory Action	09/652,927 MEYERS, THEODORE W.		RE W.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carlos Lugo	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	HE REPLY FILED 08 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a)							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	RST REPLY WAS FILED					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, man	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL.324)				
4. he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 15-23</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> wit or other evidence i	i <u>ot</u> be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a [1].				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
	Drien S	ér					
	BŘÍAN E. GLESSN SUPERVISORY PATENT E	IER EYAMINED					
	: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 13. Other:

As stated in the last Oïce Action, the applicant explains how the sales of his product "increase". However, the applicant fails to explain why the sales increase. Also, the applicant fails to demonstrate his invention with respect to other devices that are in the market. Therefore, the affidavits are not persuasive to overcome any rejection.